

# BEFORE THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN (MUMBAI)

(Appointed by the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission  
under Section 42(6) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

REPRESENTATION NO. 16 OF 2020

**(Re-hearing in pursuance of the directions dated 25.08.2020 of  
the Bombay High Court in LD-VC-AS-SJ- WP NO. 64 OF 2020)**

In the matter of change of tariff category

ESDS Software Solution Pvt. Ltd. .... Appellant  
V/s.

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. Nashik (MSEDCL).....Respondent

**Coram: Deepak Lad**

Date of Order : 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2020

## CORRIGENDUM ORDER

The order in Representation 16 of 2020 is issued on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2020. There is a typographical error at paragraphs 18 and 19 on page 34.

Existing paragraphs 18 and 19 in the order is quoted as below:

*“18. Issue (b) has been decided accordingly and therefore there **is need** to decide Issue (a).*

*19. Therefore, the Representation has been decided accordingly with directions to the Respondent to withdraw the tariff difference applied to the Appellant for the period during which it did not have valid registration certificate and report compliance **within**”*

*(emphasis added)*

The duly corrected paragraphs 18 and 19 in the said order should be read as follows:


*“18. Issue (b) has been decided accordingly and therefore there is no need to decide Issue (a).*

*19. Therefore, the Representation has been decided accordingly with directions to the Respondent to withdraw the tariff difference applied to the Appellant for the period during which it did not have valid registration certificate and report compliance within two months from the date of issue of this order.”*

Sd/-

(Deepak Lad)

Electricity Ombudsman (Mumbai)

  
(Dilip Dumbre)  
Secretary  
Electricity Ombudsman Mumbai

